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Abstract. The first part of this contribution deals with the chromosphere of sunspots. The models of umbrae give strongly self-reversed Ca H and K line cores. Much ‘macro-turbulent' broadening is needed to fit the modeled line profiles to the observations. Thus, observed dynamics in sunspot chromospheres are discussed. In the second part, the chromosphere of plages is treated. We start with the relation of K emission vs. average magnetic field strength and continue with chromospheric models. Since chromospheres are most easily observed in Hα, various simplifications for the interpretation of this line, including the cloud model, are discussed and results are given. Dynamic phenomena in plages, such as moustaches and magneto-atmospheric waves along fibrils, will be shown. Ideas about heating mechanisms of active chromospheres will be mentioned.
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1. Introduction

Research into the chromosphere of active regions on the Sun is exciting. Thanks to our proximity to the Sun we can study activity structures with their magical appearance in detail. The often violent processes are far beyond the feasibility of terrestrial laboratories. They have astonished researchers for more than a century. Solar activity convinces the astrophysical community that solar physics is important. It fascinates the public, interested laymen and politicians, who allow us to study the Sun to understand the environment of Earth and beyond, the Sun itself and the stars. I myself remember very well how my late director, Karl-Otto Kiepenheuer, could captivate state presidents and kings with his charming and exciting stories about the Sun, especially the active Sun.

Research into the active chromosphere is a demanding task. This part of the solar atmosphere owes its complexity to the magnetic fields it harbours. The field strengths vary from few tens of Gauss in weak plages to kilo-Gauss in sunspots. While reacting to convective motions below the photosphere, they dominate the dynamics in the chromosphere. The fields can produce rapid structural changes on time scales of a second and shorter. Thus, to follow such processes, the observations have to be performed at very short cadences, often too short for today’s instrumental facilities.

Also the theoretical interpretation of the observations is most challenging. The magnetic field in a conducting fluid adds to the gas pressure and gravity a multitude of further influences: magnetic pressure and tension, waves and instabilities, reconnection with release of large amounts of magnetic energy.
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Consequently, because of the complexity of the chromosphere of active regions, this contribution can only touch on few topics. First, I shall deal with the chromosphere of sunspot umbrae, which also exhibit emission in some spectral lines. We discuss their modelling and the dynamic phenomena observed in umbrae. Second, I shall expand on the chromosphere of plages. Studies of their magnetic flux density (here synonymously denoted as field strength with units Mx cm$^{-2}$ or G) are numerous. A part of this section will be devoted to observations and interpretation of the hydrogen Balmer $\alpha$ line (H$\alpha$), to its brightness in plages, and to some of the dynamics seen in H$\alpha$. Also mechanisms for the supply of the chromosphere of plages with energy will be mentioned.

2. Chromosphere of sunspot umbrae

2.1. Emission in chromospheric lines

Sunspot umbrae show emission cores in chromospheric lines, such as Ca $\Pi$ H and K, Mg $\Pi$ h and k, and in many more UV lines, e.g. in the hydrogen Ly series. Figure 1a depicts the core of an umbral Ca K line, observed with the OSO 8 satellite by Lites & Skumanich (1982), in comparison with the quiet Sun profile. The central part of the umbral K line is as bright as the quiet Sun profile and does not exhibit a self-reversal. For further use below, Fig. 1b gives the core of the K profile from a medium bright plage. This has 3–4 times stronger emission than the quiet Sun K line.

The K to H, or k to h, intensity ratio is an important measure for modelling umbral chromospheres. An optically thin emission, as the pure emission cores suggest, would give

$$I_K/I_H = f_K/f_H = 2,$$

with oscillator strengths $f_{H,K}$. However, all observations give a lower ratio. Especially Turova & Grigoryeva (2000) obtain from their detailed study $I_K/I_H = 1.14$ on average.

2.2. Temperature models of sunspots

One of the first attempts to model umbral chromospheres was undertaken by Kneer & Mattig (1978). Two of their models are reproduced in Fig. 2. To avoid self-reversals, a thin chromospheric model was tested which gives an intensity ratio $I_K/I_H$ much larger than observed. Thick models, resulting in the correct ratio, inevitably give self-reversals, which had to be smoothed out with a ‘macro-turbulence’ corresponding to 5 km s$^{-1}$. Beebe et al. (1982) arrived at the same conclusion.

The umbra model by Lites & Skumanich (1982) was based on observations with the OSO 8 satellite. It is also shown in Fig. 2. This model contains a chromospheric temperature plateau required for correct Mg h and k intensities. Again, a ‘macro-turbulence’ with 5 km s$^{-1}$ was applied. The asymmetry of the profile is produced by a systematic down-flow whose amplitude decreases with depth. The associated enthalpy flow would be a source of energy for chromospheric heating.

Remarkably, the umbral K and H emissions follow the Wilson-Bappu relation (Mattig & Kneer 1981). Besides, cool stars, e.g. ε Eri (K2 V), show very similar Ca H and K emission with little self-reversal, if at all (Linsky et al. 1979). Thus, the shape of the emission cores does not reflect any special
heating mechanism caused by the strong umbral magnetic field.

2.3. Dynamics in sunspots

As it holds for any chromosphere, dynamic processes have to occur in umbral chromospheres for the energy transport and energy balance. The umbral flashes were detected in Ca K by Beckers & Tallant (1969) and studied by Wittmann (1969). They are of small scale and quasi-periodic with periods of 140–190 s. Turova et al. (1983), in their systematic work, present an impressive picture of the periodic brightening and asymmetry of the K line core.

Oscillations in H\textsc{Dopplergrams} in sunspots were found by Giovanelli (1972, see his Fig. 2). The oscillations have the same period and are thus apparently of the same nature, as the K line flashes.

Waves in umbrae were investigated theoretically already early, among others by Musman (1965). He studied the generation of Alfvén waves in a subsphotospheric overstable layer. Uchida & Sakurai (1975) treated umbral oscillations as Alfvén waves trapped between the overstable subsphotosphere and the transition region (TR), between the chromosphere and corona. They find low eigenmodes in agreement with the Dopplergrams by Giovanelli (1972).

Zhugzhda et al. (1983) considered slow mode magneto-acoustic waves semi-trapped in the chromospheric cavity, that transmits only waves with suitable period, like a Fabry-Pérot etalon. This differs from the view of Scheuer & Thomas (1981) that the umbral 3-min oscillations are resonant fast modes, excited by the subsphotospheric overstable convection. Abdelatif et al. (1983) confirm this latter study by observational evidence that the umbral photosphere contains waves with selected periods too.

The excitation and propagation of waves in umbrae with short periods (10 s) and small spatial extension was studied numerically by Khomenko & Collados (2006). Their results are that fast-mode waves are refracted and reflected downward, while slow-mode waves can reach the chromosphere.

A remarkable detection of sunspot chromospheric dynamics was made by Socas-Navarro et al. (2000). They found in the Ca IR lines periodic (140 s) changes of Stokes V profiles, from normal to reversed, strongly asymmetric circular polarisation. They interpret this with a two-component atmospheric structure, a quiet component and an active one with motions of 10 km s\(^{-1}\). These latter form shocks and produce a blue shifted intensity emission, thus reversed circular polarisation. Centeno (2005) confirm the two-channel picture with observations in the He\textsc{i} 10830 Å line. The waves propagate in active channels of diameters < 1′. Socas-Navarro et al. (2009), furthermore, see in Ca H filtergrams from Hinode fine structures in umbral flashes with scales down to the resolution limit of ~ 0′′.22. Here we encounter a typical case of loss of understanding because of new, sophisticated observations with high spatial resolution. The picture of ‘global’ umbral oscillations has to be refined, to say the least.

Simultaneous spectroscopic observations in the Ca\textsc{ii} H and He\textsc{i} 10830 regions were recently presented by Felipe et al. (2008). Figure 3 shows a time sequence of H profiles from their data which shows nicely the periodicity and the blue shifted H line cores with enhanced peak intensity. Yet the single profiles do not exhibit self-reversals, despite the good
Spatial resolution. Figure 4 depicts a part of the associated velocities measured in He I 10830 Å showing sawtooth-shaped evolution; from Felipe et al. (2008).

3. Chromosphere of plages

3.1. Emission from plages and relation to magnetic fields

Ca II H, K, and IR line profiles from plages in comparison with quiet Sun profiles were presented, e.g., by Shine & Linsky (1972). An example for the K line core is depicted in Fig. 1. In strong plages, also the Ca IR lines exhibit self-reversed emission cores.

Schrijver et al. (1989) determined the intensity ratio $I_{\text{core}}/I_{\text{wing}}$ of the K line in solar plages, for certain bandwidths of the core and wing integrations. After subtraction of a minimum ratio (basal K flux) they obtained a linear log-log relation between K emission and average magnetic flux density $<fB>$ in G ($f = \text{filling factor}$, see their Fig. 5)

$$\log(I_{\text{core}}/I_{\text{wing}}) = 0.6 \log fB - 2.1. \quad (2)$$

The flux densities are in the range 12–840 G, while the emission ratios vary by a factor of 10.

Subsequently, Schrijver & Harvey (1989) expanded this and similar relations for other chromospheric/coronal emissions, e.g., X-ray fluxes, to dependences for Sun-as-a-star measurements and their cycle variation. The goal is to obtain measures of the average magnetic flux density $<fB>$ on late-type stars.

Figure 5, from Bello González & Kneer (2008), shows a broadband image from a plage and its line-of-sight (LOS) magnetic field, which is very intermittent at the photospheric level. The average field strength is 30–60 G. From measurements in a plage with the Advanced Stokes Polarimeter and with a Milne-Eddington inversion, Martínez Pillet et al. (1997) found $B \approx 1400$ G and $f \approx 0.15$, i.e., an average flux density $<fB> \approx 210$ G.

The strong fields of magnetic flux tubes (I call a magnetic feature even with a mushy cross section a flux tube) at photospheric levels and the average fields in plages have consequences for the field strengths in the chromosphere. We can take $B_0 = 1500$ G as the field strength of a flux tube at the base of the photosphere, and an average field strength $B_{\text{av}} = 100$ G. From the balance between internal gas pressure $p_i$, magnetic pressure $B^2/(8\pi)$, and external gas pressure $p_{\text{ext}}$ in hydrostatic equilibrium follows the approximate height dependence

$$B(z) = B_0 \cdot \exp[-z/(2H)]. \quad (3)$$

Take for the pressure scale height $H = 110$ km. The merging height $z_m$, where $B(z_m) = B_{\text{av}}$, is then $z_m = 600$ km above the base of the.
photosphere. For $B_{\parallel} = 50$ G we arrive at $z_m = 750$ km. For strong plages, I expect the magnetic field in the low chromosphere, at 600…1 500 km, to be much more homogeneous than in the quiet Sun, although certainly fluctuating in time and in space.

3.2. H$\alpha$ line in plages

H$\alpha$ is a favourite spectral line to observe the Sun, also plages, for four reasons. 1) It is visible to the human eye. 2) It is a strong chromospheric line, since hydrogen is by far the most abundant element in the universe, also on the Sun. 3) It is a broad line, thus filters and spectrographs do not need to have high spectral resolution. 4) One gets a factor 10–100 more photons in one Doppler width of H$\alpha$ than in, e.g., the H or K lines. But the interpretation of the observations is very difficult because the hydrogen atom is so much dominated by the transitions in the strong Ly$\alpha$ line.

Why is H$\alpha$ bright in active regions? Figure 6 shows a full Sun image from an epoch when the Sun (still) showed activity. Apart from the filaments and prominences, the latter enhanced by image processing, the bright plages are conspicuous. Their brightness has nothing to do with Doppler shifts. The plages may stay bright for weeks and months.

The problem is that H$\alpha$, differently from the collision dominated H and K lines, is photoionisation dominated (Thomas 1965; Mihalas 1970). While the H or K lines can be approximated by radiation transfer in a two-level atom, the bound levels involved in H$\alpha$ are close to the hydrogen continuum level. The line source function for this two-level plus continuum atom can be written as

$$S_l = \frac{\int J_\lambda \phi(\lambda) \, d\lambda + \varepsilon B_{Te} + \eta B^*}{1 + \varepsilon + \eta},$$

with integration over the line profile and angle averaged intensity $J_\lambda$. The absorption profile $\phi(\lambda)$ is normalised. $B_{Te}$ is the Planck function for the electron temperature, $\varepsilon \approx C_{ul}/A_{ul} = 10^{-6} \ldots 10^{-4}$ gives the ratio of collisional to radiative de-excitation from the 3rd to the 2nd hydrogen level. It can be very small.

In Eq. 4, $\eta$ and $B^*$ contain the collisional, but mainly radiative transitions from and to the continuum level to the 3rd and 2nd level. They are mainly given by the background radiation fields in the Balmer and Paschen continua, which come from the deep photosphere and are barely influenced by the plage activity. These terms dominate the H$\alpha$ source, in the quiet chromosphere.
3.3. Chromospheric plage model

Let us see how $\text{H}\alpha$ comes out from plage models. Figure 7 depicts the height dependence of $B_{Te}$, $B'$, and $S_1$ from two atmospheric models, the average quiet Sun model VALC (thin curves) and the plage model FALP (thick).

$B'$ is almost equal in both models, since the same radiation temperatures in the Balmer and Paschen continua were chosen for both models. But $B_{Te}$ differs considerably in both models, of course, and so does $S_1$. The height dependence of the terms $\varepsilon B_{Te}$ and $\eta B'$ is shown in Fig. 8a. Again, the latter does barely differ in the two models, while the former does. The FALP model is much hotter in the chromosphere than VALC and has higher electron densities. Thus, in FALP, the term $\varepsilon B_{Te}$ in Eq. 4 dominates in the high chromosphere, while it is negligible in VALC.

The cores of the ensuing $\text{H}\alpha$ profiles are seen in Fig. 8b. The emission in the flanks of $\text{H}\alpha$ from FALP should be taken *cum grano salis*. The profile calculations were performed with the two-level plus continuum approximation and without partial redistribution. Yet the intensity in the central part of $\text{H}\alpha$ in FALP comes out twice larger than in VALC, as observed (cf. below). A plot similar as Fig. 7, but with dependence on line-centre optical depth, shows that in FALP the line minimum of $\text{H}\alpha$ originates partly in the TR.

Figure 7 also points to the heating problem of plages. They are hotter than the quiet Sun from the photosphere to corona. Enhanced radiation from the plage photosphere is needed to account for the variation of the solar irradiation (Wenzler et al. 2005, and references therein). Possibly, the photospheric temperature in plages is heated by the hot walls of magnetic flux tubes, as shown, e.g., by Fabiani Bendicho et al. (1992).

The TR in FALP is located at a lower height than in VALC. Hammer (1982) gives the following explanation. The position of the TR is regulated by the coronal heating. From there, the energy is conducted to the TR where most emitters are located. Much/little energy requires high/low density in the TR. Hansteen et al. (2010) argue that the position of the TR is fixed by the runaway of temperature when the chromosphere can not get rid of the energy. Thus more energy supply in the chromosphere moves the TR down too.

3.4. Cloud model and beyond

The cloud model was invented by Beckers (1964) to obtain information on $\text{H}\alpha$ finestructures without the nasty task to solve the 3D radiation transfer problem for the hydro-
gen atom. The model assumes that a cloud is located high above the atmosphere and does not influence the structure and emission of low layers. Then the contrast \( C(\lambda) = [I(\lambda) - I_0(\lambda)]/I_0(\lambda) \) of the cloud becomes
\[
C(\lambda) = \frac{S}{I_0(\lambda)} - 1 \cdot (1 - e^{-\tau_0(\lambda)}),
\]
with \( I(\lambda) \) and \( I_0(\lambda) \) the intensities emerging from the cloud and ingoing into the cloud from below, respectively, and \( S \) the source function in the cloud assumed constant. E.g. Al et al. (2004) describe how from the contrast profiles the parameters \( S \), optical depth of the cloud at line centre \( \tau_0 \), the cloud velocity \( u_{\text{LOS}} \), and the \( \text{H}_\alpha \) Doppler width \( \Delta \lambda_{\text{D}} \) in it can be determined by a fitting procedure.

The resulting parameters are typically, with some scatter, \( S/I_0 \sim 0.15 \) (with \( I_c \) = continuum intensity), \( \tau_0 \sim 1 \), \( u_{\text{LOS}} \sim 10 \text{ km s}^{-1} \), and \( \Delta \lambda_{\text{D}} \sim 0.35 \text{ Å} \) (cf. e.g. Sánchez-Andrade Nuño et al. 2008).

Be \( N_2 \) the density in the 2nd hydrogen level, \( L \) the geometrical thickness of the cloud, and \( f \) the \( \text{H}_\alpha \) oscillator strength, one gets
\[
\tau_0 = 1.38 \times 10^{-21} \times (N_2L)/\Delta \lambda_{\text{D}}.
\]
A deeper account on the cloud model and its recent developments is given in the review by Tziotziou (2007, and references therein).

3.5. Dynamics in plages

By means of observations obtained and analysed by Sánchez-Andrade Nuño et al. (2008), I now review a few dynamic phenomena in active regions. Figure 9 shows a section of a flar-
ing active region at a heliocentric angle $\vartheta \approx 36^\circ$. The inner parts of some H$_\alpha$ profiles from this region are depicted and compared to the quiet-Sun profile in Fig. 10a. Note that moustaches can become very bright in the wings of H$_\alpha$, but are inconspicuous around line centre.

### 3.5.1. Ellerman bombs/moustaches

Ellerman (1917) himself called these brightenings, best seen in H$_\alpha$, `solar hydrogen bombs'. From their appearance in negatives of photographic films, Severny (1956) coined the name `moustache'. They are small-scale structures with lifetimes of few min. Some authors claim that they possibly live for hours. According to the studies of Bruzek (1967), moustaches occur in developing active regions and in there within mainly around sunspots, but are absent around old, unipolar spots. Denker (1996) gave an account of earlier work on this phenomenon.

Moustaches are bright in the wings of the Balmer lines and are also seen in Ca H and K, but are inconspicuous at line centre of H$_\alpha$, cf. Figs. 10a and 11. Moustaches are thus a phenomenon of the upper photosphere to lower chromosphere and may be explained by (very) hot gas there, as described in item 2 above, where I discussed embedded H$_\alpha$ structures.

Simultaneous observations of emission at 1600 Å (with TRACE) and in H$_\alpha$ were performed by Qiu et al. (2000). They found two types of moustaches. One type exhibits strong emission and shows a good correlation between UV and H$_\alpha$, the other comes with weaker emission and little correlation. The authors argue that moustaches are caused by heating in the photosphere. SOHO/MDI, TRACE (195 Å & 1600 Å), and THEMIS (MSDP & MTR) data were recently used by Pariat et al. (2007) and Berlicki & Heinzel...
3.5.2. Magneto-acoustic waves

In region ‘B’ in the lower panel of Fig. 9, one sees elongated fibrils. They are preferred objects to study magneto-acoustic waves as in Sánchez-Andrade Nuño et al. (2008). The authors subjected the temporal sequence of the data to a high-pass filter to bring out the wave motions otherwise buried among the long lasting flows in the fibrils. Figure 12 shows in its right panel a snapshot of the resulting Dopplergrams. The wave structures are small, ~ 0.75 thick and only 2–3″ along the fibrils. The accompanying movie, with a frame rate of 22 s, suggests that the waves are excited from both sides, at the rooting of the magnetic fields buffeted by the granular motion. From the direction to the limb of the FOV and from the excitation at both ends of the fibrils, we may adopt that, along a large section of the fibrils, the waves propagate parallel to the solar surface and perpendicularly to the LOS.

Figure 13 gives space-time slices of a part of the wave motions from the Hα observations. Periodic repetition is rarely seen. The waves appear to be solitary. One can measure the phase speeds and obtains velocities in the ranges 10–14 km s⁻¹ and 23–40 km s⁻¹. The waves often start off with low velocity, close to the sound speed, then speed up to ~ 30 km s⁻¹, and finally disappear, but still in the FOV. The wave train at ‘1’ in Fig. 13 travels for approximately 9 min with ~ 13 km s⁻¹, the waves at ‘2’, ‘3’, and ‘4’ have speeds of 23–30 km s⁻¹.

Sánchez-Andrade Nuño et al. (2008) tried an interpretation with the following considerations. The sound velocity and Alfvén velocity are given, respectively, by

\[ c_s^2 = \frac{\gamma p}{\rho} \quad \text{and} \quad v_A^2 = \frac{B^2}{4\pi \rho}. \]

Spruit (1982), from linearisation of the MHD equations of cylindrical flux tubes with
Fig. 13. Space-time slices (16.2 Mm x 0.8 Mm, Δt = 22 s, after high-pass filtering) from fibrils in region ‘B’ of Fig. 9; from left to right: LOS velocity, Hα line centre intensity, and differences $I_0(t+i) - I_0(t)$, $I_0$ = intensity at +0.5 Å off line centre.

density $\rho$ and field strength $B$, embedded in a medium with $\rho_{\text{ext}}$ and $B_{\text{ext}}$, gives for the tube speed for longitudinal waves (gas motions along $B$, the sausage mode)

$$c^2_l = \frac{\nu_A^2 c_s^2}{\nu_A^2 + c_s^2}.$$  (8)

For $\nu_A \gg c_s$ one has $c_l \approx c_s$, i.e. propagation with sound speed.

The kink mode (with gas motions perpendicular to $B$) travels with the phase speed

$$v_{ph}^2 = \frac{\rho u_A^2 + \rho_{\text{ext}} u_{A,\text{ext}}^2}{\rho + \rho_{\text{ext}}} = \frac{1}{4\pi} . \ B^2 + B_{\text{ext}}^2.$$  (9)

For various combinations of external gas density and field strength one finds

- $v_{ph}^2 = v_A^2$ for $\rho_{\text{ext}} = \rho$, $B_{\text{ext}} = B$,
- $v_{ph}^2 = v_A^2/2$ for $\rho_{\text{ext}} = \rho$, $B_{\text{ext}} = 0$, and
- $v_{ph}^2 = 2 \cdot v_A^2$ for $\rho_{\text{ext}} = 0$, $B_{\text{ext}} = B$.

The observed propagation velocities of the solitary waves with approximately sound speed can be understood, although the large observed LOS gas velocities of 1–2 km s$^{-1}$ perpendicular to the wave propagation are astonishing. Yet, the wave propagation with 25–40 km s$^{-1}$ is difficult to imagine. For any reasonable field strengths and gas densities in the fibrils, the phase velocity of the kink waves should be larger than 100 km s$^{-1}$, which was not observed. Apparently, the physics of wave propagation in densely packed magnetic inhomogeneities is more complex than the assumption of a single flux tube implies.

3.6. Energy supply

The radiative losses from the chromosphere of plages differ between the various plages. They may vary by a factor of 10 (Schrijver et al. 1989), with lowest values only a bit larger than the losses from the average quiet chromosphere. The latter was calculated by Vernazza et al. (1981) from their VAL C model to $\sim 4.5 \times 10^6$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. Possibly, it amounts to more, $1.4 \times 10^7$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ according to Anderson & Athay (1989). I thus estimate the radiative losses from the chromosphere of an average plage to $2 \ldots 4 \times 10^7$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$.

The reader is referred to Ulmschneider et al. (1991) and Narain & Ulmschneider (1996) for extensive discussions of chromospheric (and coronal) heating mechanisms. Ulmschneider and his group favour the dissipation of magneto-acoustic body waves (e.g. Fawzy et al. 2002). These authors had performed numerous simulations of chromospheric heating by acoustic waves and longitudinal tube waves. Transversal tube waves play
apparently a minor role. The wave flux generated in the convection zone was calculated and found on the order of $10^9$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. The authors could explain the chromospheric emission of late-type stars and its dependence on effective temperature, surface gravity, metallicity, and on the filling factor which determines the coverage of the stellar surface with magnetic fields. The periods of the generated waves range from 300 s down to 5 s. The authors reason that short periods are required for heating, since strong gradients in shocks are needed.

However, strong lateral structuring represents another topology with strong gradients. Ryutova et al. (1991) treated tightly settled bundles of magnetic flux tubes with large inhomogeneities of the parameters, field strength, density, and temperature. These authors show that the propagation properties (shock formation, dissipation) change strongly compared to the case of isolated tubes. Yet, Ryutova et al. (1991) treat waves running perpendicularly to the magnetic field. I do not know of similar work with waves along the field.

A heating mechanism caused by relatively slow gas flows is the reorganisation of magnetic fields which become ‘braided’ by random motions at their rooting in the photosphere (van Ballegooijen 1986). The field inhomogeneities are re-distributed to small scales and their energy content is dissipated in field-aligned electric currents. Many analytical studies as well as numerical simulations have been performed since the work of van Ballegooijen (1986) in this direction, but mainly with application to coronal heating processes.

Finally, emergence of magnetic flux and fast reconnection have to be mentioned. This process releases magnetic energy, e.g., in flares, and possibly in moustaches.

4. Conclusions

Two topics were treated in this review, the chromosphere of sunspot umbrae and the chromosphere of plages. I tried to start with Old Wisdom and to come to New Insight. The review is certainly incomplete, I apologise to those whose work was not properly acknowledged. I had learned long ago that new insight requires hard work and patience, and that it always raises new questions. Among the unsolved problems, which have been with us since many years, remain the chromospheric heating mechanisms. Yet the future for observational improvement with new facilities and for the understanding with the developments of educated theoretical simulations appears prosperous.

Georg Christoph Lichtenberg (1742; 1799), professor for physics in Göttingen, modifies a citation from Shakespeare’s Hamlet: ‘... have said, there exist many things in heaven and earth of which nothing is contained in our compendiis. ... One may reply confidently: Well, but instead many things are contained in our compendiis of which neither in heaven nor on earth anything exists.’

This stimulates oneself to diligent writing.
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