Diffuse UV background: GALEX results
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Abstract. A bright UV GALEX image in the direction of a dense high galactic latitude interstellar dust cloud is examined to test (and to reject) the idea that a bright extragalactic UV background radiation field exists. A GALEX “Deep Imaging Survey” image of a second high latitude region (a region almost totally free of dust) shows a similar bright background, which, clearly, cannot be due to starlight scattered from interstellar dust. I speculate that the background is due to dark matter particles interacting with interstellar gas/dust nucleons.

Key words. techniques: ultraviolet – cosmology: diffuse background

1. Introduction

Sujatha, Murthy, Karnataki, Henry, & Bianchi (2009) have used the GALEX ultraviolet imagers to study the diffuse UV background at the high-galactic-latitude location “SANDAGE,” discovered by Allan Sandage (1976) to be extremely dusty (abscissa, Fig. 1). They showed that the large observed signal ($\int \phi(B-V) dV = 750$ photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ sr$^{-1}$ Å$^{-1}$) might be due, in the main, to starlight scattered from dust. In the present paper I use these same data to test the suggestion by Henry (1991, 1999) that the ubiquitous UV background observed longward of 1216 Å is of extragalactic origin. (This target, at $b = 38^\circ$, was proposed to NASA expressly for the purpose of testing Henry’s idea.)

Fig. 3 gives the values of E(B-V) across SANDAGE. If I assume that the only signal from this direction is a uniform extragalactic (i.e., from beyond the ~ 100 pc distance of the dust) background (and that the dust is forward-scattering) I predict that GALEX should see the FUV image shown in Fig. 4. In fact, the actual GALEX FUV image appears in Fig. 5. It bears no resemblance to our prediction. Because the dust is optically thick, starlight from foreground stars back-scattering from the dust could not “fill the hole” that is predicted under the extragalactic hypothesis, which we can thus now rule out.

2. A high latitude dust-free target

The GALEX FUV image of the diffuse background at SANDAGE is remarkable in appearance, but is nevertheless similar in appearance to every other such image that I have seen. What can be the source of this strange background radiation? Could it be starlight scattered from dust? To find out, consider Deep Imaging Survey target ELAIS1_00 which (Fig. 6) is almost free of interstellar dust. The image (Fig. 7), despite the lack of dust, closely resembles that of SANDAGE. I have written a simple fortran program to model the expected dust-scattered starlight. For a dust albedo of 0.28 and a Henyey-Greenstein scattering pa-
rameter $g = 0.61$ (Sujatha et al. 2007), I calculate an expected background at 1500 Å for this target of only 17 photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ sr$^{-1}$ Å$^{-1}$.

There are GALEX images in which dust-scattered starlight is clearly identifiable (Henry 2006), but the ELAISS1.00 data prove that the ubiquitous strong cosmic FUV background is not due to dust-scattered starlight. And, as we have just seen, that background cannot be extragalactic in its origin.

Fig. 8 shows the correlation between the NUV and FUV intensities for ELAISS1.00. The correlation coefficient is 0.63. I have made no correction for the large zodiacal light contribution to the NUV intensity (the FUV image has no zodiacal light contamination).

3. Source of the UV background

I began my investigation of the UV background in Henry (1973). By 1995 the situation was as shown in Fig. 9—which allows one to understand, I hope, why I believed the radiation longward of 1216 Å to be redshifted recombination radiation from the intergalactic medium. But, the SANDAGE data show that idea to be wrong. What, then, is the source of the radiation? It cannot be dark matter annihilation radiation, for the photon energy would be much too high—also, most such radiation would originate beyond the SANDAGE cloud. I suggest that the radiation results from collisions of dark matter particles with interstellar medium nucleons. The weak interaction conveys energy to the electrically-charged quarks, which radiate. See the lattice gauge models of Derek B. Leinweber: http://www.physics.adelaide.edu.au/theory/staff/leinweber/VisualQCD/Nobel/ Because the interaction cross section is expected to go as the square of the atomic number, hydrogen, helium, and metals would contribute about equally to the background radiation.

4. Contamination in GALEX images

Signal in both the FUV and NUV GALEX imagers (while pointed at a fixed target) always decline until local midnight, and then rise as dawn approaches (see Fig. 2 of Sujatha et al. 2009). Murthy (private communication) has discovered that the magnitude of the decline correlates with solar activity. The GALEX images in Fig. 5 and Fig. 7 look like they could be 100% contamination. (The NUV images are seriously contaminated with zodiacal light, so in the present paper I have largely confined my attention to the FUV images.)

The FUV imager may transmit some OI 1356 Å airglow, and there could also be a background due to particle fluorescence in the window. Each of these would be expected to vary with both time and solar activity. In the next section, however, I will demonstrate that only a small fraction of what appears in Fig. 5 and...
Fig. 3. Values of E(B-V) for GALEX SANDAGE (Schlegel, Finkbeiner, & Davis 1998). The heavy line (one degree diameter) shows the portion of the data that can be interpreted.

Fig. 4. Predicted GALEX FUV image if the background radiation comes from beyond the dust. (Any additional spatially uniform contributor would reduce the predicted contrast.)

Fig. 5. The actual GALEX FUV image bears no resemblance to the prediction of Fig. 4.
Fig. 11. Voyager UV spectrum of the diffuse cosmic background in the direction of SANDAGE (Murthy et al. 1999). Murthy et al. assumed that there is no cosmic signal short of the interstellar hydrogen ionization edge. We see that for SANDAGE there is also no cosmic signal longward of that edge, but beyond ~1000 Å a signal appears which rises to meet the observed GALEX SANDAGE image intensity (yellow band) of Fig. 1. This strongly suggests that there is minimal contamination in the GALEX image.

Fig. 6. A strong FUV background is seen at this $b = -79.9\,\text{ELAISS}_1$ location (which is almost free of dust). Error bar, as in Fig. 1, shows statistical uncertainty in a typical data point.

Fig. 7. The pedestal shows where data have been omitted from the ELAISS$_1$,00 image.

5. Discussion

In Fig. 11, I plot the Voyager spectrum for SANDAGE (Murthy et al. 1999). Fig. 2 locates the Voyager spectrometer slit (28 Å reso-
Fig. 8. There is significant correlation between the FUV (1350-1750 Å) and NUV (1750-2750 Å) intensities for the ELAISS1_00 images.

Fig. 9. Henry & Murthy (1995) exhibited this strange spectrum of the diffuse UV background radiation. The red triangle is the Voyager upper limit of 30 photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ sr$^{-1}$ Å$^{-1}$ that has been defended by Murthy et al. (2001). The Aries rocket spectrum (A) by one of my students (Anderson et al. 1979) shows continuum radiation, as does (at longer wavelengths) the Aries rocket spectrum (T) by another of my students (Tennyson et al. 1988); we corrected the Tennyson et al. observation meticulously for airglow, and for zodiacal light.

912 Å, to beyond 1000 Å, there is also no signal; a clear indication that a) the subtraction of background has been done right, and b) there truly is no celestial background radiation just longward of the interstellar hydrogen ionization limit. Finally, notice that the Voyager spectrum then rises toward the level (yellow band) that we see in our GALEX image of this target.

Our GALEX SANDAGE image covers the range 1350 Å to 1750 Å with average signal about 750 photon units (top of yellow band) which should be reduced to ~600 photon units (bottom of yellow band) to allow for contamination, following Murthy’s correlation. This Voyager spectrum surely rules out any larger contribution from geophysical contamination to the GALEX image. The ELAISS1_00 data shown in Fig. 6 were acquired in 2003, when solar activity was high. A similar plot for observation of the same target in late 2006, when solar activity was much lower, shows a decline to about 400 photon units—hardly a substantial decrease.

Finally, the data shown in Fig. 9 include no GALEX data, and are from a wide variety of instruments. Like GALEX, they show a background of order 400 photon units in every case. So, there is a ubiquitous cosmic background longward of about 1200 Å, and it has the appearance that is exhibited in Fig. 5 and Fig. 7—which look unlike any other astronomical photographs.
6. Conclusions

I think in Figs. 5 and 7 we are, for the first time, seeing the bulk of the interstellar medium by means of radiation ("radiative corrections") from dark matter particles impacting interstellar medium nucleons. Assuming that the nucleons are destroyed in the interaction (which may not be the case), some thousands of UV photons must be emitted per interaction, if the interstellar medium is not to be depleted over the age of the universe.

Our FUV background, we know, continues into the NUV (Tennyson et al. 1988) and, perhaps, even into the visible (Henry 1999)—most of the photons in the Hubble Deep Field image come, not from the galaxies, but from a diffuse optical background, which, I suggest, is the continuation to the optical of the spectrum that appears in Figs. 9 and 11.
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